BHARAT SCHOOL OF BANKING

TYPES OF CHEQUES, CROSSING OF CHEQUES

Bearer Cheque& Ordered Cheque

There are two types of cheques -

- Payable to bearer -
 - Whoever **bears** or holds the instrument. If you don't provide any **crossing** on the **cheque**, then it will be a **bearer cheque**. If you take it to the **bank counter**, you will be able to **en-cash** the **cheque**, without any issue.
- Payable to order Only to a certain person/institution. If you provide a crossing on the cheque, it will be a ordered cheque. There will be several conditions for an ordered cheque as described below.

Crossing of Cheques are defined in **Section 123** of **Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881**. There are **four** types of **crossing** (i.e., putting **conditions** on **cheque**):

- 1. <u>Crossed Generally</u> [Putting **Two Parallel Transverse** Lines on the cheque] It provides the **condition** to the **banker** that the amount can be **credited** to **any account** but through a **banking channel**, so that the **beneficiary** may be **traced**.
- 2. <u>Crossed Specially</u> [Putting the name of the banker, e.g., SBI, ICICI, etc] Here the bank makes payment only to the banker whose name is written in the crossing. It is more safe than the generally crossed cheques, because it restricts to the only banker you want to use.
- 3. <u>Account Payee / Restrictive Crossing</u> [Putting the word 'Account payee']
 The collecting bank is supposed to credit the amount only to the account of payee, nowhere else.
- 4. <u>Non-negotiable Crossing</u> [Putting the word 'Not Negotiable']
 Here, you are making the **cheque** (which is a **negotiable** instrument) **non-negotiable**. It means you cannot **endorse** the **cheque** to other person (restricting the **transferability**, refer to the previous posts)

For a better understanding of Not-negotiable crossing, here is an example scenario -

A buys a car from B, A gives a cheque crossed 'not negotiable'. B gives it to C. C loses the cheque and it is found by D. D forges C's endorsement and endorses it over to E. If the cheque is dishonored, E can sue D, but has no recourse to sue A, B or C, as it is marked 'not negotiable'. Even though E accepted the cheque in good faith and 'for value' he cannot get a better title than the prior endorser D, who had none. Without the words 'not negotiable' E could have sued A, B, or D as prior endorsers (C can't be sued as his endorsement was forged).